**Correction to "Cyclic Division Algebras", by Louis Halle Rowen, Israel Journal of Mathematics, Voi. 41, No. 3, 1982, pp. 213--234.** 

Tignol has spotted two errors in the proof of [1, Theorem 6]. The proof given below requires a more careful analysis, based on the original idea but taking into account that the number of roots of unity available at each stage changes.

Fix any power f of p, where  $f \geq p$  for p odd and  $f \geq 4$  for  $p = 2$ . Take  $\hat{K} = Q(\zeta_t)(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_u)$  where  $u = \frac{fn}{p}$  and let K be the fixed subfield under  $\sigma^n$ (where  $\sigma$  permutes the  $\mu_i$  cyclically). Then  $R = (K, \sigma, \zeta_i)$  is a division algebra by Brauer's Theorem, which we claim has exponent  $p$ . (Indeed we argue as in Example 3. Let  $K_1$  be the fixed subfield of K under  $\sigma^{n/p}$ . Then  $\sigma^{n/p}(x) = \zeta_x$  for some x in  $\hat{K}$  so  $\sigma(x) = a_1x$  for some  $a_1$  in  $K_1$ ; hence  $\zeta_f = \sigma^{n/p-1}(a_1) \cdots a_1$  and  $\zeta_i^p = N(a_1)$  proving  $\exp(R) \leq p$ ; equality holds since  $\exp(R) \neq 1$ .)

Form R' as in §1 by taking  $m = p = q$ . Then R' has degree n and exponent p by [1, Remark 6], and this is the example to be used for [1, Theorem 6] and [1, Theorem 8. (Note for  $f = p$  we have  $u = n$ , which provided the example originally considered.)

PROOF OF [1, THEOREM 6]. Suppose  $R'$  is a crossed product with respect to the split Galois group of exponent  $p$ . By Example 2,  $R'$  has a commutative  $p$ -central set of order n. Thus, by Remark 7,  $R$  has a commutative  $p$ -central set  $S$  of order n all of whose elements are in  $R_0k^i z^j$  for various  $i, j > p$ , where we recall  $K = K_0(k)$ , and  $R_0$  is the subalgebra of R generated by  $K_0$  and  $z^p$ .

We need some more notation. For any given d let  $c = n/p^{d+1}$  and let  $K_d$ denote the fixed subfield of K under  $\sigma^c$ ; let  $R_d$  be the subalgebra of R generated by  $K_d$  and  $z^p$ . Then  $z^c \in Z(R_d)$  and is thus identified in  $R_d$  as a primitive  $p^{d+1}$ -root of  $\zeta_f$ .

Given a commutative *p*-central set  $S_{d-1}$  of elements  $s_t = r_i z^{i_t}$  for suitable  $r_t$  in  $R_{d-1}$ , let  $\zeta = z^{pc}$ , a primitive  $p^d$ -root of  $\zeta_i$ . Put  $P = Q(\zeta_i)$  and  $H = P[\mu_1, \dots, \mu_u]$ . Writing  $r_i = \sum_{i=0}^{c-1} x_{i,i} z^{pi}$  for suitable  $x_{i,i}$  in  $K_{d-1}(\zeta)$  and multiplying through by a suitable element of F we may assume all  $x_{i,t} \in H$ . Put  $V = \sum_{j=1}^{u} P\mu_j$  and write  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} V_i$ , a finite direct sum of e irreducible  $\sigma$ -submodules. For each  $\alpha = (\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)$  write  $V_{\alpha} = \prod_{i=1}^{e} V_i^{\alpha_i}$ . Note the  $V_{\alpha}$  are homogeneous in total degree in the  $\mu_i$ , so an easy dimension counting argument shows  $H = \bigoplus_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$  is graded as  $\sigma$ -module, and we order the  $V_{\alpha}$  according to the lexicographic order of  $\alpha$ . Let  $V_{\alpha}$ , denote the leading component of all  $x_{i,j}$  appearing in  $r_i$ , let  $x'_{i,j}$ denote the  $V_{\alpha}$ -component of  $x_{i}$ , (possibly 0), let  $r_i = \sum_{i=0}^{c-1} x_{i}^i z^{pi}$  and  $s'_i = r'_i z^{i}$ . Then the s'<sub>i</sub> form a new commutative p-central set  $S'_{d-1}$  of which we claim

278 L.H. ROWEN Isr. J. Math.

 $|S_{d-1}|/p$  elements have  $r'_i$  in  $R_d$ ; this subset of  $|S_{d-1}|/p$  elements from  $S'_{d-1}$  will be denoted as  $S_d$ . (Note that each  $r'_i$  is fixed by  $\sigma^p$ , where  $\sigma$  acts naturally on H by setting  $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta$ .)

The passage from  $S_{d-1}$  to  $S_d$  will be called *Brauer's degree reduction argument*, based on Jacobson's exposition of Brauer, and the above claim can be proved by proving the following stronger assertion:

 $z^{c}r'z^{-c} = \zeta(t)r'$ , where  $\zeta(t)$  is a suitable pth-root of 1.

To see this, first note  $\sigma^c$  induces a transformation on  $V_i$  whose order divides  $p^{d+1}$ , so  $\sigma^{c}(w_i) = \zeta^{(i)}w_i$  for some nonzero  $w_i \in V_i$  and some power  $\zeta^{(i)}$  of  $\zeta$ . The characteristic subspace of  $\zeta^{(i)}$  under  $\sigma^c$  is a nonzero  $\sigma$ -submodule of  $V_i$  (since  $\sigma^c(w) = \zeta^{(i)}w$  implies  $\sigma^c(\sigma w) = \sigma(\sigma^c w) = \sigma(\zeta^{(i)}w) = \zeta^{(i)}\sigma(w)$  and is thus all of  $V_i$ , i.e.  $\sigma^c(w) = \zeta^{(i)}w$  for all w in  $V_i$ . Hence for each  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  there is some power  $\zeta^{(\alpha)}$  of  $\zeta$  with  $\sigma^c(w) = \zeta^{(\alpha)}w$  for each w in  $V_\alpha$ . Writing  $\zeta(t)$  for  $\zeta^{(\alpha)}$ note that  $\zeta(t)^p = 1$  (since  $\sigma^{pc}(x_{i,t}) = x_{i,t}$  by hypothesis), and

$$
z^{c}r'_{i}z^{-c}=z^{c}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{c-1}x'_{i,i}z^{pi}\right)z^{-c}=\sum_{i=0}^{c-1}z^{c}x'_{i,i}z^{-c}z^{pi}=\sum \zeta(t)x'_{i,i}z^{pi}=\zeta(t)r'_{i},
$$

proving the claim.

For example, we see from the first paragraph that we could take  $|S_0| = n/p$ yielding  $|S_d| = c$  for each d. We need some more observations about this reduction argument.

REMARK. If  $s \in S_{d-1}$  and  $s' \in Fz^{n/p}$  then  $s \in Fz^{n/p}$ . (Indeed  $s = (\alpha z^{n/p} + r)z^{n/p}$ where  $\alpha \in H \cap F$  is the leading component,  $r \in H \cap R_{d-1}$ , and  $j < p$ . Hence  $j = 0$ ; since r commutes with  $z^{n/p}$  we get  $s^p = \alpha^p z^n + p \alpha^{p-1} z^{(p-1)n/p} r + \cdots \in F$ . Hence the leading component of  $p\alpha^{p-1}z^{(p-1)n/p}r$  is in F, so the leading component of r is in  $Fz^{n/p}$ ; working inductively yields  $r \in Fz^{n/p}$ .)

REMARK. If  $s \in S_{d-1}$  and  $s' \in Fk''z^{in/p}$  where k" is any element of K such that  $\sigma(k'') = \zeta_p k''$ , then  $s \in Fk''z^{in/p}$ . (Indeed  $k''$  commutes with  $z^p$  so the argument of the previous remark applies.)

Iterating each remark over all d, we may assume that if  $z^{n/p}$  or  $k''z^{in/p}$  appears in any  $S_d$  then it already appears in  $S_0$  (and thus in *S*). For the remainder of the *proof* fix  $d = \log_b n - 2$ , i.e.,  $p^{d+2} = n$ . Then  $c = p$  and  $R_d = K_d(z^p)$  is a field in which  $z^p$  is identified with  $\zeta_u$ ; hence  $R_d$  cannot have a p-central set of more than  $p^2$  elements. Also  $K_d = F(k_d)$  for suitable  $k_d$  where  $\sigma(k_d) = \zeta_p k_d$ , and  $R_{d-2}$  is a division ring of degree  $p^2$  whose center contains  $z^{p^3}$  (u/p<sup>2</sup>-root of 1).

CLAIM 1. If  $s \in S_{d-2} \cap R_{d-2}$  then  $s' \in R_{d-1}$  (notation as before); in particular if  $S_{d-2} \subset R_{d-2}$  then  $S_{d-2} \subset R_{d-1}$  and we may take  $S_{d-1}$  to be all of  $S_{d-2}$ .

PROOF OF CLAIM 1. Otherwise  $\sigma^{p^2}(s') = \zeta_p s'$  for some p-th root  $\zeta_p$  of 1. Hence  $z^{p^2}$  and s' generate a cyclic central subalgebra of  $R_{d-2}$  having degree p, whose centralizer thus also has degree p. Conclude as in Claim 1 of the original proof.

REMARK.  $S_d \subset R_d$ . Indeed otherwise we have  $rz^i$  in  $S_d$  with  $r \in R_d$  and  $j \neq 0$ . Then  $r\sigma(r) \cdots \sigma^{p-1}(r)z^{pi} \in F$  contrary to Proposition 6, where the notation  $L_1$ ,  $L$ , and  $h$  of Proposition 6 are replaced here respectively by  $R_d =$  $K_d(z^p) = K_d(\zeta_u)$ ,  $K_d$  and r (i.e.  $e = u$  in Proposition 6).

CLAIM 2. We may assume S contains  $z^{n/p}$  and  $k_d$ .

PROOF OF CLAIM 2. We showed  $|S_d| \geq p$  and  $S_d \subseteq R_d \approx K_d(\zeta_u)$ , so we may assume  $S_d$  contains  $z^{n/p}$  or  $k_d z^{in/p}$  for some i. By the above remarks we may assume S contains one of these elements; we need to prove S contains both of them or, equivalently,  $|S_d| = p^2$ .

If  $z^{n/p} \in S$  then each s, in S commutes with  $z^{n/p}$  and thus has suitable form  $r_1z^{i_1}$  for  $r_1$  in  $R_0$ , i.e.,  $|S_0| = n$ ; then the Brauer reduction argument yields  $|S_d|=p^2$  and we are done. If  $k_d z^{in/p} \in S$  then each  $s_i \in R_0(kz^i)^{i_j}$  for some  $i_i$ , so we could find  $S_0 \subseteq R_0$ . Then  $S_{d-2} \subset R_{d-2}$  so by Claim 1 we may take  $|S_{d-1}| = p^3$ and so  $|S_a| = p^2$ , proving Claim 2.

But now we know S is centralized by  $z^{(n/p)}$  and  $k_d$ , implying  $S \subseteq R_0$ . Hence we may take  $S_0 = S$  and  $|S_0| = n$ , so  $|S_{d-2}| = p^4$  and  $S_{d-2} \subset R_{d-2}$ , implying  $|S_{d-1}| = p^4$ by Claim 1 and  $|S_a| = p^3$ , contrary to  $S_a \subset R_a$ . Q.E.D.

## *Added in proof*

Unfortunately this argument opens up another gap, namely, letting  $T<sub>d</sub>$  denote the subalgebra of R generated by  $K_d$  and z (so that  $R_d$  is the centralizer of  $z^p$  in  $T_a$ ) and  $F_a = Z(T_a) = F(z^c)$ , we do not necessarily have the s', independent over  $F_a$  (although each  $(s')^p \in Z(R_a)$ ). To assure this we must make a further modification. Write  $S_{d-1} = s_1, \dots, s_u$  and  $K_{d-1} = K_d (k_{d-1})$  with  $\sigma^c (k_{d-1}) = \zeta_p k_{d-1}$ . Taking leading components (denoted as ') we may assume  $k_{d-1}$  is homogeneous. We note the following for all  $t$ :

(i) If  $s_i \notin F_{d-1}$  then  $s'_i \notin F_{d-1}$  (for if  $s_i r = \zeta_p rs_i$  then  $s'_i r' \neq r's'_i$ ).

(ii)  $(s')^p \in F_{d-1}$  (for  $s^p_1 k_{d-1} = k_{d-1} s^p$ , implying  $(s')^p k_{d-1} = k_{d-1} (s')^p$ ).

(iii) If  $s_i \in F_m$  for any  $m \ge d$  then  $s_i \in F_{d-1}z^{c_i}$  for some j (since  $s_i^p \in F_{d-1}$  and  $F_m$  is cyclic over  $F_{d-1}$ ). Likewise by (ii), if  $s' \in F_m$  then  $s' \in F_{d-1}z^{d'}$  for some j.

(iv) If  $s'_i \in F_{d+1}$  then  $s_i \in F_d$ . Indeed  $s'_i = \alpha z^{i}$  for some  $\alpha \in F_{d-1}$  by (iii), so  $s_t = \alpha z^{cj} + r$  for  $r \in H \cap R_{d-1}$  of lower order. As in the Remark above, we get  $r \in F_{d-1} z^{q}$  so  $s_i \in F_{d-1} z^{q} \subset F_d$ .

(v) Analogously, if  $s'_i \in F_{d+1}k''$  where  $\sigma k'' = \zeta_k k''$  then  $s_i \in F_d k''$ .

Now as in the argument in the original correction  $z^{n/p} \in S$ . Thus  $|S_0| = n$  so we can replace  $S_0$  by a set of  $n/p$  elements which are  $F(z^{n/p})$ -independent. *Now* we finally can claim  $S_d$  is p-central in  $T_d$ . This is clear by induction unless say  $F_d$  (s<sub>1</sub>') =  $F_d$  (s<sub>2</sub>'), so  $s_2' \in F_d s_1''$  for some *j* implying  $s_1's_2^{-1} \in F_d$  by (iv) which implies  $S_0$  has two elements  $x_1, x_2$  with  $x_1x_2^{-1} \in F_0 = F(z^{n/p})$ , contrary to assumption.

## **REFERENCE**

1. L. H. Rowen, *Cyclic division algebras,* Isr. J. Math. 41 (1982), 213-234.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS BAR ILAN UNIVERSITY RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL